

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/073,411	MEGGIOLAN, MARIO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Staicovici	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Stefan Staicovici.

(2) Mr. Anthony Volpe.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Those in case

Prior art documents discussed:

That of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici indicated that Applicant's arguments filed February 18, 2004 do not include any remarks regarding the rejection of claims 33 and 45 in the non-final rejection mailed November 6, 2003. In view of the discussion regarding said non-final rejection of claims 33 and 45 under 35 USC 102 and 103, Mr. Volpe agreed to cancel claims 33 and 45.